Comments on 'Financing Long Term Care through Housing in Europe' by

Carole Bonnet, Sandrine Juin et Anne Laferrère

Anne Lavigne
Université d'Orléans
Séminaire Share – Paris 18 mai 2016



What is at stake?

- Housing: large share of household wealth in many countries
 - Housing properties: utility value, illiquidity, indivisibility, risk-return
 - No (evidence of) significant downsizing of home equity at older age
- Life expectancy projections:
 - people living longer; dependence at older ages
 - burden on public/social security financing; underdeveloped market for LTC private insurance (adverse selection, cost uncertainty, market structure)
- Coupling housing and ageing: getting revenues from housing ownership to finance ageing, and more specifically, long term care expenses
- Questions :
 - identifying the size of the problem (is ageing/LTC an issue?)
 - identifying the size of the solution (is home equity enough to cover the increase in LTC needs?)

Method



- estimating a disability transition model in 9 EU countries (AU, BE, DE, DK, ES,FR, IT, NL, SW)
- simulating disability trajectories of 65+ (conditionally on socio-demo-economic variables)
- estimating the cost of LTC
- simulating the lump-sump payments to be extracted form reverse mortgage for dependent individuals with no partner
- computing the proportions of individuals that are able to pay for their LTC needs

General comments

- useful piece of work; growing literature on the use of reverse mortgage to finance retirement but not LTC specifically (except few papers on US data)
- very clear and very precise on each step
- comprehensive (baseline scenario + robustness tests with varying assumptions)
- (I guess) → paper that has already received comments / improvements → decreasing marginal productivity in commenting...



Variables of interest

- health status: 2 ADL as a cut off; correlation between ADLs? Robustness check
 - home equity : overestimation (true in France? ISF...)





LTC costs

- hours; monetary assessment (labor cost); assumption: constant cost on the 2013-2051 simulation period: no labor productivity improvements (low skilled workers) vs. health care robotics
- labour cost (table 4): gross or net? That is: the price of care for the individual or the total cost of LTC? (which makes a difference if we view housing wealth as a substitute to public aid)
- decreasing vs. increasing prevalence of dependence among the elderly
- « net » cost: distinguishing « pure » LTC and regular assistance to elderly (e.g. driving); in a policy-oriented research, the distinction could be relevant



Reverse mortgage contracts

- consistency between the assumption of a 8 % interest rate on loan and the assumption of a 0 % increase in housing prices; 8 % includes risk premia (longevity, interest rate risk and risk on housing price)
- who buys the contract? The dependant person or his/her family in case of mental disability; conflict of interest for the family → (theoretical) possibility of contingent contract
- (ever mentioned) endogeneity problem: lack of public coverage explains self effort (savings/wealth accumulation) or high level of savings explains low public assistance; optimistic message of the authors: at least for a reasonable period of time, an a reasonable fraction of population, private wealth can cover LTC needs → nice idea of a « deductible » on public LTC assistance
- page 16: « Institutionalized individuals are not initially sampled in most countries »

